

1  
2  
3  
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
5 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

6 \* \* \*

7 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Case No. 2:16-cr-00046-GMN-PAL

8 Plaintiff,

9 v.

**ORDER**

10 RYAN C. BUNDY,

(ECF Nos. 2298, 2398, 2447, 2448, 2487,  
2488, 2489, 2499, 2595, 2606, 2623, 2629)

11 Defendant.

12 Before the court are Defendant Ryan C. Bundy's Notice Regarding Verifiable Claim and  
13 Compensation (ECF No. 2298), Notice of Rescindation [*sic*] of Financial Affidavit (ECF  
14 No. 2398), Notice re: Full Reconveyance (ECF No. 2447), Notice of Patent of Nativity (ECF  
15 No. 2448), Notice of Demand for Immediate Release (ECF No. 2487), Notice of Termination of  
16 Services (ECF No. 2488), Affidavit Not Pro Se (ECF No. 2489), Emergency Judicial Notice and  
17 Cease and Desist Order (ECF No. 2499), Notice of Assertion of Right to be Presumed Innocent  
18 (ECF No. 2595), Emergency Judicial Notice and Cease and Desist Order (ECF No. 2606), Notice  
19 and Affidavit of Truth (ECF No. 2623), and Affidavit (ECF No. 2629). These filings are referred  
20 to the undersigned pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(A) and LR IB 1-3 of the Local Rules of  
21 Practice.

22 "It is well established that district courts have inherent power to control their docket."  
23 *Ready Transp., Inc. v. AAR Mfg., Inc.*, 627 F.3d 402, 404 (9th Cir. 2010) (quoting *Atchison, Topeka*  
24 *& Santa Fe Ry. v. Hercules, Inc.*, 146 F.3d 1071, 1074 (9th Cir. 1998)). This includes the power  
25 to strike improperly filed items from the docket. *Id.* Such power is indispensable to the court's  
26 ability to enforce its orders, manage its docket, and regulate insubordinate conduct. *Adobe Sys.*  
27 *Inc. v. Christenson*, 891 F. Supp. 2d 1194, 1201 (D. Nev. 2012).

28 Mr. Bundy's "affidavits," "demands," "notices," and "orders" are improper filings that are

1 not authorized by federal statute, the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, or the Local Rules of  
2 Criminal Practice. He has filed multiple motions challenging the sufficiency of the Superseding  
3 Indictment (ECF No. 27), which have been considered and decided.<sup>1</sup> He has also filed multiple  
4 motions demanding to be released, which have been considered and decided.<sup>2</sup> The court advised  
5 Mr. Bundy he was required to abide by the applicable case law, rules of procedure, and courtroom  
6 protocol during his initial *Faretta* canvass. See Apr. 19, 2016 Mins. of Proceedings (ECF  
7 No. 285), Apr. 19, 2016 Hr’g Transcript (ECF No. 1312) at 9–10. The court has reminded Mr.  
8 Bundy of these requirements during a recent hearing addressing Mr. Bundy’s self-representation.  
9 See Sept. 8, 2017 Mins. of Proceedings (ECF No. 2346), and in a number of written orders.<sup>3</sup> His  
10 recent filings are frivolous, largely fantastical, and to the extent intelligible at all request the same  
11 relief requested previously-decided motions. The duplicative filings amount to an abusive  
12 litigation tactic that has taxed the resources of the court and all of the parties in this case. The  
13 filings will therefore be stricken.

14 **IT IS ORDERED:** the Clerk of the Court shall **STRIKE** from the court’s docket  
15 Defendant Ryan C. Bundy’s:

- 16 1. Notice Regarding Verifiable Claim and Compensation (ECF No. 2298),
- 17 2. Notice of Rescindation [*sic*] of Financial Affidavit (ECF No. 2398),
- 18 3. Notice re: Full Reconveyance (ECF No. 2447),
- 19 4. Notice of Patent of Nativity (ECF No. 2448),
- 20 5. Notice of Demand for Immediate Release (ECF No. 2487),
- 21 6. Notice of Termination of Services (ECF No. 2488),
- 22 7. Affidavit Not Pro Se (ECF No. 2489),
- 23 8. Emergency Judicial Notice and Cease and Desist Order (ECF No. 2499),


24  
25 <sup>1</sup> See, e.g., ECF Nos. 831, 996, 1031, 1251, 1647, 1678, 1681, 1911, 1912, 1913, 2479, 2492.

26 <sup>2</sup> See, e.g., ECF Nos. 1082, 1550, 1729, 2069, 2182, 2309, 2336, 2493, 2525.

27 <sup>3</sup> See also Order (ECF No. 2492) at 3 n.4 (quoting *Faretta v. California*, 422 U.S. 806, 834 n.46 (1975)  
28 (“The right of self-representation is not a license to abuse the dignity of the courtroom. Neither is it a license  
not to comply with relevant rules of procedural and substantive law.”)). As a result of Mr. Bundy’s repeated  
failure to comply with the court’s orders and applicable rules, his pro se status was revoked and counsel  
was appointed. See Oct. 6, 2017 Mins. of Proceeding (ECF No. 2631).

- 1 9. Notice of Assertion of Right to be Presumed Innocent (ECF No. 2595),  
2 10. Emergency Judicial Notice and Cease and Desist Order (ECF No. 2606),  
3 11. Notice and Affidavit of Truth (ECF No. 2623), and  
4 12. Affidavit (ECF No. 2629).

5 DATED this 20th day of October, 2017.

6   
7 PEGGY A. LEEN  
8 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28